

1 David C. Kiernan, Bar No. 215335  
dkiernan@jonesday.com  
2 Craig E. Stewart, Bar No. 129530  
cestewart@jonesday.com  
3 Lin W. Kahn, Bar No. 261387  
lkahn@jonesday.com  
4 JONES DAY  
555 California Street, 26th Floor  
5 San Francisco, California 94104  
Telephone: +1.415.626.3939  
6 Facsimile: +1.415.875.5700

7 Catherine T. Zeng, Bar No. 251231  
czeng@jonesday.com  
8 JONES DAY  
1755 Embarcadero Road  
9 Palo Alto, California 94303  
Telephone: +1.650.739.3939  
10 Facsimile: +1.650.739.3900

11 Attorneys for Defendants  
Alphabet Inc. and Google LLC

12 UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
13  
14 NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
15 OAKLAND DIVISION

16 **DREAM BIG MEDIA, INC., GETIFY**  
17 **SOLUTIONS, INC., and SPRINTER**  
18 **SUPPLIER LLC, Individually and on Behalf**  
19 **of All Others Similarly Situated,**

20 **Plaintiffs,**

21 **v.**

22 **ALPHABET INC. and GOOGLE LLC,**  
23 **Defendants.**

**Case No. 4:22-CV-2314-JSW**

**JOINT STIPULATION AND**  
**[PROPOSED] ORDER REGARDING**  
**PAGE LIMITATIONS FOR MOTION**  
**TO DISMISS COMPLAINT**

Judge: Hon. Jeffrey S. White  
Date Action Filed: April 13, 2022

1 WHEREAS, Defendants Alphabet Inc. and Google LLC (together, “Defendants”)  
2 responses to the complaint in the above-captioned matter is July 12, 2022. (Doc. 24.) Defendants  
3 intend to move to dismiss the complaint and strike the class allegations on that date.

4 WHEREAS, Plaintiffs Dream Big Media, Inc., Getify Solutions, Inc., and Sprinter  
5 Supplier LLC (individually and on behalf of all others similarly situated) (together, “Plaintiffs”)  
6 opposition to Defendants’ motions to dismiss and motions to strike is due on or before August 30,  
7 2022, and Defendants’ replies are due on or before September 20, 2022. (Doc. 24.)

8 WHEREAS, pursuant to this Court’s Civil Standing Order No. 6, each party’s brief in  
9 support of any motion filed in response to the Complaint may not exceed 15 pages in length (not  
10 counting the title page, indices of cases, table of contents, summaries of argument, if required,  
11 and exhibits);

12 WHEREAS, in an effort to present their arguments as efficiently as possible, Defendants  
13 seek to file a unified brief in support of their motions to dismiss the complaint that will not  
14 exceed 18 pages in length (not counting the title page, indices of cases, table of contents,  
15 summaries of argument, if required, and exhibits);

16 WHEREAS, in an effort to present their arguments as efficiently as possible, Plaintiffs  
17 seek to file a combined opposition brief to Defendants’ motions to dismiss (in lieu of separate  
18 briefs) that will not exceed 18 pages in length (not counting the title page, indices of cases, table  
19 of contents, summaries of argument, if required, and exhibits);

20 WHEREAS, Defendants do not seek additional pages for their motion to strike the class  
21 allegations; and

22 WHEREAS, this request will not alter the briefing schedule for Defendants’ motions to  
23 dismiss the complaint and to strike the class allegations;

24 NOW, THEREFORE, pursuant to Local Rule 7-11(a), all parties agree, subject to the  
25 approval of the Court, as follows:

26 1. Defendants may file a unified opening brief in support of their motions to dismiss  
27 the complaint that may not exceed 18 pages in length (not counting the title page, indices of  
28

1 cases, table of contents, summaries of argument, if required, and exhibits) and will not seek  
2 additional pages on reply; and,

3 2. Plaintiffs may file a single combined opposition brief in opposition to Defendants'  
4 briefs in support of their motions to dismiss that may not exceed 18 pages in length (not counting  
5 the title page, indices of cases, table of contents, summaries of argument, if required, and  
6 exhibits).

7  
8 Dated: July 7, 2022

Respectfully submitted,

9 JONES DAY

10 By: /s/ David C. Kiernan

11 David C. Kiernan  
12 Craig E. Stewart  
13 Catherine T. Zeng  
14 Lin W. Kahn

Attorneys for Defendants  
Alphabet Inc. and Google LLC

15 Dated: July 7, 2022

NEMATZADEH PLLC

16 By: /s/ Justin S. Nematzadeh

17 Justin S. Nematzadeh

18 Attorneys for Plaintiffs

19 Dated: July 7, 2022

BALESTRIERE FARIELLO

20 By: /s/ Matthew W. Schmidt

21 John G. Balestriere  
22 Matthew W. Schmidt

Attorneys for Plaintiffs

23 Dated: July 7, 2022

ESQGO, PC

24 By: /s/ Mario Simonyan

25 Mario Simonyan

26 Attorneys for Plaintiffs  
27  
28

1 Pursuant to Local Rule 5-1(h)(3), I, David C. Kiernan, attest that concurrence in filing this  
2 document has been obtained from the other signatories.

3  
4 Dated: July 7, 2022

By: /s/ David C. Kiernan  
David C. Kiernan

5  
6  
7  
8 **PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS SO ORDERED.**

9  
10 Dated: \_\_\_\_\_, 2022

HON. JEFFREY S. WHITE  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT JUDGE

11  
12 NAI-1532048891v1